## danish

# CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### **DANISH POWER LIMITED**

(formerly known as Danish Power Private Limited & initially known as Danish Private Limited)

CIN: U31200RJ1985PLC003346

Registered Office: DTA-02-07-08, DTA Phase-II, PO, Mahindra World City, Jaipur, Sanganer,

Rajasthan, India, 302037

Telephone No.: +91-89478-22222; E-mail: info@danish.co.in; Website: www.danish.co.in

### <u>CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF INDEPENDENTDIRECTORS AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>

#### INTRODUCTION

The Company conducts its operations under the directions of Board of Directors within the framework laid down by various statutes, more particularly by the Companies Act, 2013, SEBI Regulations, Memorandum and Articles of Association and Code of Conduct and policies formulated by the Company for its internal execution. The present policy for performance evaluation is being put into place in accordance with the provisions of Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI LODR on Board Evaluation. Such an evaluation procedure will provide a fine system of checks and balances on the performance of the directors and will ensure that they exercise their powers in a rational manner.

As required under SEBI LODR and Companies Act, 2013, the evaluation of the Board involves multiple levels:

- Board as a whole
- Committees of the Board
- Individual Directors (including Managing Director, Independent Directors, Non-Independent Directors, etc.)

With an aim to maintain an energized, proactive and effective Board, the Board is committed to a continuing process of recommending and laying down the criteria to evaluate the performance of the entire Board of the Company.

#### **OBJECT**

The Board evaluation policy aims at:

- **a.** bring closer working relationship among Board members
- **b.** endorse those individual directors and the Board as a whole:
  - i. who works efficiently by using the Board's time constructively to maximize the strength; and
  - **ii.** who works effectively as a governing body in achieving Company's objectives and tackle any shortcomings.
- **c.** Facilitate the decision on levelling the composition of remuneration, for making it reasonable and sufficient, to attract, retain and motivate directors, with aim to sustain the required quality to run the company successfully

#### **DEFINITIONS**:

1.

In this Policy, words and expressions shall have the meaning assigned to them below:

i. "Act" shall mean the Companies Act, 2013 and rules framed thereunder, notified by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, as amended from time totime;

- ii. "Board" shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company;
- iii. "Company" shall mean Danish Power Limited, incorporated under the provisions of the Act;
- iv. "Directors" shall mean all the members of the Board of Directors of the Company, including the Independent Directors;
- v. "Listing Regulations" shall mean The Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended from timeto time.

#### EFFECTIVENESS OF THE BOARD

The overall effectiveness of the Board shall be measured on the basis of the ratings obtained by each Director and accordingly the Board shall decide the Appointments, Re-appointments and Removal of the non-performing Directors of the Company. For this reason, based on the fore stated criteria of evaluation the remuneration of the Directors and Key Managerial Personnel shall be determined and reviewed from time to time.

#### RESPONSIBILITY OF BOARD / INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS

It shall be the duty of the Board, who shall be supported by the Management to organize the evaluation process and accordingly conclude the steps required to be taken. The evaluation process will be used constructively as a system to improve the directors' and committees' effectiveness, to maximize their strength and to tackle their shortcomings.

In conformity with the requirement of the Act, the performance evaluation of all the directors shallbe done by the entire Board of Directors, excluding the director being evaluated.

Independent Directors are duty bound to evaluate the performance of non - Independent Directors and Board as a whole. The independent directors of the Company shall hold at least one meeting in a year to review the performance of the non- Independent Directors, performance of Managing Director of the Company and Board as a whole, taking into account the views of executive directors and non-executive directors.

#### **EVALUATION FACTORS**

1.

The Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following parameters for the purpose of evaluating the performance of a particular director:

In respect of each of the evaluation factors, various aspects have been provided to assist with the evaluation process in respect of performance of Board itself, and of its committees and individual directors as such evaluation factors may vary in accordance with their respective functions and duties.

Evaluation of Independent Director shall be carried on by the entire Board in the same way as itis done for the Executive Directors of the Company except the Director getting evaluated.

Appraisal of each Director of the Company shall be based on the criteria as mentioned herein below.

#### Rating Scale

Performance	Rating
Surpasses Expectations	3
Meets Expectations	2
Below Expectations	1

The Company has chosen to adopt the following Board Performance Evaluation Process:

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance valuation of the entire Board by Independent Directors, are set out below:

S. No	<b>Particulars</b>	Ratings	Remarks
1.	a. Structure of the Board:		
	i. Competency of directors:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with a proper		
	mixof competencies to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	ii. Experience of directors:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with enough		
	experience to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	iii. Mix of qualifications:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with a proper		
	mixof qualifications to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	iv. Diversity in Board under various parameters:		
	Gender/background/ competence/experience, etc		
	Whether there is sufficient diversity in the Board on the		
	aforesaid parameters.		
	v. Appointment to the Board:		
	Whether the process of appointment to the Board of		
	directors is clear and transparent and includes provisions to		
	consider diversity of thought, experience, knowledge,		
	perspective and gender in the Board of directors.		
	b. Meetings of the Board		
	i. Regularity of meetings:		
	Whether meetings are being held on a regular basis		
	ii. Frequency		
	1. Whether the Board meets frequently		
	2. Whether the frequency of such meetings is enough		
	forthe Board to undertake its duties properly		
	iii. Logistics		
	Whether the logistics for the meeting is being handled		
	properly- venue, format, timing, etc.		

	Agenda	
1.	Whether the agenda is circulated well before the	
	meeting	
2.	Whether the agenda has all relevant information to take	
	decision on the matter	
3.	Whether the agenda is up to date, regularly reviewed	
	and involves major substantial decisions	
4.	Whether the quality of agenda and Board papers is up	
	to the mark (explains issues properly, not overly	
	lengthy, etc.)	
5.	Whether outstanding items of previous meetings are	
	followed-up and taken up in subsequent agendas	
6.	Whether the time allotted for every item (especially	
	substantive items) in the agenda of the meeting is	
	sufficient for adequate discussions on the subject	
7.	Whether the Board is able to finish discussion and	
	decision on all agenda items in the meetings	
8.	Whether adequate and timely inputs are taken from the	
	Board members prior to setting of the Agenda for the	
	meeting	
9.	Whether the agenda includes adequate information on	
	Committee's activities	
v. I	Discussions and dissent:	
1. V	Vhether the Board discusses every issue	
С	omprehensivelyand depending on the importance of the	
	ubject	
	Whether the environment of the meeting induces free-	
	lowing free flowing discussions, healthy debate and	
	ontribution by everyone without any fear or fervour	
	Whether the discussions generally add value to the	
	lecision making	
	Whether the Board tends towards groupthink and	
	whether critical and dissenting suggestions are welcomed	
	Whether all members actively participate in the	
	liscussions	
	Whether overall, the Board functions constructively as a	
	eam	
	Recording of minutes:	
<b>3</b> /1		
1.	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly-	
1. clea	Whether the minutes are being recorded properlyarly, completely, accurately and consistently.	
1. clea 2.	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly- arly, completely, accurately and consistently.  Whether the minutes are approved properly in	
1. clea 2. acc	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly- arly, completely, accurately and consistently.  Whether the minutes are approved properly in ordance with set procedures.	
1. clea 2. acc 3.	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly- arly, completely, accurately and consistently.  Whether the minutes are approved properly in ordance with set procedures.  Whether the minutes are timely circulated to all the	
1. clea 2. acc 3. Boa	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly- arly, completely, accurately and consistently.  Whether the minutes are approved properly in ordance with set procedures.	

vii. Dissemination of information:	
1. Whether all the information pertaining to the meeting are	
disseminated to the members timely, frequently, accurately,	
regularly	
2. Whether Board is adequately informed of material	
matters in between meetings	
c. Functions of the Board	
i. Role and responsibilities of the Board:	
Whether the same are clearly documented	
ii. Strategy and performance evaluation:	
1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to	
management of current and potential strategic issues	
2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate	
strategic risks	
3. Whether the Board overall reviews and guides corporate	
strategy, major plans of action, risk policy, annual	
budgets and business plans, sets performance objectives,	
monitored implementation and corporate performance,	
and oversees	
4. major capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestments.	
iii. Governance and compliance	
1. Whether adequate time of the Board is being devoted to	
analyse and examine governance and compliance issues	
2. Whether the Board monitors the effectiveness of its	
governance practices and makes changes as needed	
3. Whether the Board ensures the integrity of the entity's	
accounting and financial reporting systems, including	
the independent audit, and that appropriate systems of	
control are in place, in particular, systems for risk	
management, financial and operational control, and	
compliance with the law and relevant standards.	
4. Whether the Board oversees the process of disclosure and	
communications.	
5. Whether the Board evaluates and analyses the	
compliance certificate from the auditors / practicing	
company secretaries regarding compliance of conditions	
of corporate governance.  iv. Evaluation of Risks:	
1. Whether Board undertakes a review of the high risk	
issues	
impacting the organization regularly	
2. In assessment of risks, whether it is ensured that, while	
rightly encouraging positive thinking, these do not result in	
over-optimism that either leads to significant risks not being	
recognised or exposes the entity to excessive risk.	
v. Grievance redressal for Investors:	
Whether the Board regularly reviews the grievance	
redressal mechanism of investors, details of grievances	
received, disposed of and those remaining unresolved.	
, 1	

6

1.

vi. Conflict of interest:	
1. Whether the Board monitors and manages potential	
conflicts of interest of management, members of the Board	
of directors and shareholders, including misuse of corporate	
assets and abuse in related party transactions	
2. Whether a sufficient number of non-executive members	
of the Board of directors capable of exercising independent	
judgement are assigned to tasks where there is a potential	
for conflict of interest	
vii. Stakeholder value and responsibility:	
1. Whether the decision making process of the Board is	
adequate to assess creation of stakeholder value	
2. Whether the Board has mechanisms in place to	
communicate and engage with various stakeholders	
3. Whether the Board acts on a fully informed basis, in good	
faith, with due diligence and care, with high ethical	
standards and in the best interest of the entity and the	
stakeholders.	
4. Whether the Board treats shareholders and stakeholders	
fairly where decisions of the Board of directors may affect	
different shareholder/ stakeholder groups differently.	
5. Whether the Board regularly reviews the Business	
Responsibility Reporting / related corporate social	
responsibility initiatives of the entity and contribution to	
society, environment etc.	
viii. Corporate culture and values:	
Whether the Board sets a corporate culture and the	
values by which executives throughout a group shall	
behave	
ix. Review of Board evaluation: Whether the Board	
monitors and reviews the Board evaluation framework.	
x. Facilitation of Independent Directors:	
Whether the Board facilitates the Independent Directors to	
perform their role effectively as a member of the Board of	
directors and also a member of a committee of Board of	
directors and any criticism by such directors is taken	
constructively.	
d. Board and management	

1.

i. Evaluation of performance of the management and	
feedback:	
1. Whether the Board evaluates and monitors management,	
especially the CEO regularly and fairly and provides	
constructive feedback and strategic guidance	
2. Whether the measures used are broad enough to monitor	
performance of the management	
3. Whether the management's performance is benchmarked	
against industry peers	
4. Whether remuneration of the management is in line with	
its performance and with industry peers	
5. Whether remuneration of the Board and the management	
is aligned with the longer term interests of the entity and its	
shareholders.	
6. Whether the Board selects, compensates, monitors and,	
when necessary, replaces key managerial personnel based	
on such evaluation.	
7. Whether the Board 'steps back' to assist executive	
management by challenging the assumptions underlying	
strategy, strategic initiatives (such as acquisitions), risk	
appetite, exposures and the key areas of the entity's focus.	
ii. Independence of the management from the Board:	
Whether the level of independence of the management	
from the Board is adequate	
iii. Access of the management to the Board and Board	
access to the management: Whether the Board and the	
management are able to actively access each other and	
exchange information	
iv. Secretarial support: Whether adequate secretarial and	
<b>-</b>	
logistical support is available for conducting Board meetings	
v. Fund availability: Whether sufficient funds are made	
available to the Board for conducting its meeting	
effectively,	
seeking expert advice E.g. Legal, accounting, etc.	
vi. Succession plan: Whether an appropriate and	
adequatesuccession plan is in place and is being	
reviewed and	
overseen regularly by the Board	
e. Professional development	
i. Whether adequate induction and professional	
development programmes are made available to new	
and	
old directors	
ii. Whether continuing directors training is provided to	
ensure that the members of Board of directors are kept	
up	
to date	

#### **COMMITTEES OF BOARD**

1.

The Board has constituted the following committees:

- 1. Audit Committee;
- 2. Nomination and Remuneration Committee; and
- 3. Stakeholders Relationship Committee
- 4. Corporate Social Responsibility Committee;

For evaluating the performance of each committee, the Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following aspects as set out below:

S.No	Particulars Particulars	Rating	Remarks
1.	Mandate and composition: Whether the mandate,		
	composition and working procedures of committees of		
	the Board of directors is clearly defined and disclosed.		
2.	Effectiveness of the Committee: Whether the		
	Committee has fulfilled its functions as assigned by the		
	Board and laws as may be applicable		
3.	Structure of the Committee and meetings:		
	i. Whether the Committees have been structure		
	properly and regular meetings are being held		
	ii. In terms of discussions, agenda, etc. of the		
	meetings, similar criteria may be laid down as		
	specified above for the entire Board		
4.	Independence of the Committee from the Board:		
	Whether adequate independence of the Committee is		
	ensured from the Board		
5.	Contribution to decisions of the Board: Whether the		
	Committee's recommendations contribute effectively to		
	decisions of the Board.		

## INDIVIDUAL DIRECTORS (INCLUDING MANAGING DIRECTOR, INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, NON-INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, ETC.)

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of Independent Director, in which the concerned director being evaluated shall not be included, are set out below:

#### Name of Director being assessed:

1.

S. No.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks/ Comments
1.	General		
	a. Fulfillment of functions: Whether the person understands and		
	fulfills the functions to him/her as assigned by the Board and the		
	law (E.g. Law imposes certain obligations on Independent		
	Directors)		
	b. Ability to function as a team: Whether the person is able to		
	function as an effective team- member		
	c. Initiative: Whether the person actively takes initiative with		
	respect tovarious areas		
	d. Availability and attendance: Whether the person is available		
	formeetings of the Board and attends the meeting regularly and		
	timely, without delay.		
	e. Commitment: Whether the person is adequately committed to		
	the Board and the entity		
	f. Contribution: Whether the person contributed effectively to		
	the entity and in the Board meetings		
	g. Integrity: Whether the person demonstrates highest level of		
	integrity (including conflict of interest disclosures, maintenance		
	of confidentiality, etc.)		
2.	Additional criteria for Independent director		
	a. Independence: Whether person is independent from the		
	entity and the other directors and there if no conflict of interest		
	b. Independent views and judgement: Whether the person		
	exerciseshis/ her own judgement and voices opinion freely		
3.	Additional criteria for Managing Director		

- a. Effectiveness of leadership and ability to steer the meetings: Whether the Managing Director displays efficient leadership, is open-minded, decisive, courteous, displays professionalism, able to coordinate the discussion, etc. and is overall able to steer the meeting effectively
- b. Impartiality: Whether the Managing Director is impartial in conducting discussions, seeking views and dealing with dissent, etc.
- c. Commitment: Whether the Managing Director is sufficiently committed to the Board and its meetings.
- d. Ability to keep shareholders' interests in mind: Whether the Managing Director is able to keep shareholders' interest in mind during discussions and decisions.

1.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

This Policy is effective from the date of approval of the same by the Board of Directors of the Company.

#### **REVIEW**

The performance evaluation process will be reviewed annually by the "Nomination and Remuneration Committee".

Subject to the approval of Board of Directors, the Committee may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company.

#### **DISCLOSURE**

Company will disclose details of its Board Performance Evaluation processes in its Board's Report. The Board's report containing such statement shall indicate the manner in which formal evaluation has been made by the Board of its own performance and that of the committees of the Board and individual directors of the Company.

#### **DISCLOSURES**

The Company shall disclose the Policy on its website.

#### Note:

1.

1) Approved in the Board Meeting held on July 22, 2024.

\*\*\*\*